arXiv:math/9308206v1 [math.FA] 13 Aug 1993

The M-ideal structure of some algebras of bounded linear operators

NIGEL J. KALTON *and* DIRK WERNER

ABSTRACT. Let $1 < p, q < \infty$. It is shown for complex scalars that there are no nontrivial M-ideals in $\mathcal{L}(L_p[0,1])$ if $p \neq 2$, and $\mathcal{K}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$ is the only nontrivial M-ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A subspace J of a Banach space X is called an M-ideal if there is an ℓ_1-direct decomposition of the dual space X^* of X into the annihilator $J^\perp$ of J and some subspace $V \subset X^*$:

$$X^* = J^\perp \oplus_1 V;$$

it is called nontrivial if $\{0\} \neq J \neq X$. This notion was introduced by Alfsen and Effros [1] and has proved useful in Banach space geometry, approximation theory and harmonic analysis; see [12] for a detailed account.

A number of authors have studied the M-ideal structure in $\mathcal{L}(X)$, the space of bounded linear operators on a Banach space X, with special emphasis on the question whether $\mathcal{K}(X)$, the subspace of compact operators, is an M-ideal; see for instance [3], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [13], [14], [16], [17], [18], [21], [22], [24] or Chapter VI in [12]. In particular we mention the facts that, for a Hilbert space H, the M-ideals of $\mathcal{L}(H)$ coincide with its closed two-sided ideals [20] and that, for a subspace X of ℓ_p, $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is an M-ideal in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ if and only if X has the metric compact approximation property [7].

In this paper we show that in many cases the ideal of compact operators is the only candidate for an M-ideal in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. For $X = \ell_p$ this was done by Flinn [9]. For the function space $L_p = L_p[0,1]$ it has long been known that the compact operators do not form an M-ideal if $p \neq 2$ ([14] or, for another approach, [17]); in [12, p. 252] the problem is posed to determine the M-ideal structure of $\mathcal{L}(L_p)$ completely. This is done in section 2 where we prove that there are no nontrivial M-ideals in the algebra of operators on the complex space L_p, $p \neq 1, 2, \infty$. In section 3 we study the M-ideals in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$, for $1 < p$, $q < \infty$. We show, for complex spaces again, that here the compact operators form the only M-ideal, thus proving a conjecture of Cho and Johnson [8]. (Here, as usual, $\ell_p(E_n)$ denotes the ℓ_p-direct sum of the Banach spaces E_n, i.e., $\ell_p(E_n)$ consists of all sequences of vectors $x_n \in E_n$ such that $\|(x_n)\| = (\sum \|x_n\|^p)^{1/p} < \infty$.)

For our arguments we need the notion of the (spatial) numerical range of an operator T on a complex Banach space E. This is the set

$$V(T) = \{x^*(Tx) \colon \|x^*\| = \|x\| = x^*(x) = 1\}.$$

The operator T is called hermitian if $V(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$. It is a well-known fact that an operator on L_p for $p \neq 2$ is hermitian if and only if it is a multiplication operator, i.e., $Tf = hf$ for some real-valued $h \in L_\infty$. (See [4] and [5] for details.)

Acknowledgement. This work was done while the second-named author was visiting the University of Missouri, Columbia. It is his pleasure to express his gratitude to all those who made this stay possible.

2. M-IDEALS OF OPERATORS ON $L_p[0,1]$

To prove the main result of this section, Theorem 2.4, we will need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 *For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is some $\delta > 0$ such that whenever A and B are operators on a complex Banach space satisfying $\|\sin A\| \leq 1 - \delta$, $\|\sin B\| \leq 1$, $\arcsin(\sin A) = A$, $\arcsin(\sin B) = B$ and $\|\sin A - \sin B\| \leq \delta$, then $\|A - B\| \leq \varepsilon$. The condition $\arcsin(\sin A) = A$ holds if $V(A) \subset R := [-0.1, 1.1] \times [-0.1, 0.1]\, i$.*

Proof. Consider the power series expansion

$$\arcsin z = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k, \qquad |z| \leq 1,$$

in which all $c_k \geq 0$. Since $\sin A$ is contractive, the series $\sum c_k (\sin A)^k$ converges, and the operator $\arcsin(\sin A)$ is defined (likewise for B).

Write $v = \sin A$, $u = \sin B$, $w = u - v$. By the uniform continuity of the arcsin-function on $[0,1]$ we conclude for sufficiently small δ

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B - A\| &= \|\arcsin(v + w) - \arcsin v\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \left((v + w)^k - v^k \right) \right\| \\
&\le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{l} \|v\|^l \|w\|^{k-l} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \left((\|v\| + \|w\|)^k - \|v\|^k \right) \\
&= \arcsin(\|v\| + \|w\|) - \arcsin \|v\| \\
&\le \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

if $\|w\| = \|\sin A - \sin B\| \le \delta$.

We finally discuss the numerical range condition. If $V(A) \subset R$, then the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ is also contained in R [4, p. 19]. Now $\sin R$ is a subset of the open unit disk. Hence $\arcsin(\sin z) = z$ for $z \in \sigma(A) \subset R$, and we deduce by the functional calculus that $\arcsin(\sin A) = A$. □

Lemma 2.2 *Let X be a Banach space, $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ a two-sided ideal and P a projection onto a complemented subspace E of X which is isomorphic to X.*

(a) *If $P \in \mathcal{J}$, then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{L}(X)$.*

(b) *If E is C-isomorphic with X and $\mathcal{J}$ contains an operator T with $\|T - P\| < (C\|P\|)^{-1}$, then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{L}(X)$.*

Proof. (a) Let $j\colon E \to X$ denote the canonical embedding and let $\Phi\colon E \to X$ denote an isomorphism. Then $Id = (\Phi P)P(j\Phi^{-1}) \in \mathcal{J}$.

(b) We retain the above notation and let $S = (\Phi P)T(j\Phi^{-1}) \in \mathcal{J}$. Then, if $\|\Phi\|\,\|\Phi^{-1}\| \le C$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S - Id\| &\le \|\Phi\|\,\|P\|\,\|T - P\|\,\|\Phi^{-1}\| \\
&\le C\,\|P\|\,\|T - P\| \ < \ 1;
\end{aligned}$$

thus S is invertible and $Id = SS^{-1} \in \mathcal{J}$. □

Lemma 2.3 *Let $1 \le p < \infty$ and let φ and φ' be bimeasurable bijective transformations on $[0,1]$ and λ and λ' be measurable functions on $[0,1]$. Suppose $I\colon f \mapsto \lambda \cdot f \circ \varphi$ and $J\colon f \mapsto \lambda' \cdot f \circ \varphi'$ are two isometric isomorphisms on L_p such that $\{\varphi \ne \varphi'\}$ has positive measure. Then $\|I - J\| \ge 2^{1/p}$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove this for $J = Id$, that is, for $\lambda' = 1$ and $\varphi'(\omega) = \omega$. By Lusin's theorem, $\{\omega\colon \varphi(\omega) \neq \omega\}$ contains a compact set C of positive measure such that $\varphi|_C$ is continuous. A compactness argument now yields some compact subset $A \subset C$ of positive measure such that $\varphi(A) \cap A = \emptyset$. Pick α so that $f = \alpha\chi_{\varphi(A)}$ has norm 1. Then $\|If\| = 1$, If and f have disjoint supports and thus $\|If - f\| = 2^{1/p}$. □

We now state and prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 2.4 *If* $1 < p < \infty$ *and* $p \neq 2$, *then there are no nontrivial* M*-ideals in* $\mathcal{L}(L_p([0,1],\mathbb{C}))$.

Proof. Let $\{0\} \neq \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{L}(L_p)$ be an M-ideal. Since L_p and its dual are uniformly convex, $\mathcal{J}$ is a two-sided ideal [8]. We shall show that $Id \in \mathcal{J}$, thus proving our claim that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{L}(L_p)$.

In fact, by Lemma 2.2 it is enough to show that some characteristic projection $P_A\colon f \mapsto \chi_A f$, for some Borel set of positive measure, is in $\mathcal{J}$. This suffices because then $L_p(A)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L_p[0,1]$ [19, p. 321].

We now let $0 < \eta < 0.1$ be a small number. (It will become clear in due course how small η should actually be.) By [12, Th. V.5.4] $\mathcal{J}$ contains an operator T with $\|T\| = 1$ and $V(T) \subset [-\eta, 1+\eta] \times [-\eta, \eta]\, i$. We are going to show that this 'almost' hermitian operator T is close to an hermitian operator $f \mapsto hf$; and we will eventually prove that $P_A \in \mathcal{J}$ for $A = \{h \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$.

We first apply Theorem 4 from [4, p. 28] to obtain that

$$\left\| e^{itT} \right\| \leq 1 + \alpha|t| \qquad \forall |t| \leq 1,$$

where $\alpha = \alpha(\eta) \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$. That is, for small enough η and $|t| \leq 1$, the operators e^{itT} are small bound isomorphisms:

$$\frac{1}{1+\alpha}\|f\| \leq \left\| e^{itT} f \right\| \leq (1+\alpha)\|f\|.$$

By a result due to Alspach [2], e^{itT} is close to an isometric isomorphism I_t:

$$\left\| e^{itT} - I_t \right\| \leq \beta,$$

where $\beta = \beta(\eta) \to 0$ as $\alpha(\eta) \to 0$. But the isometric isomorphisms on L_p, $p \neq 2$, are known to have the form

$$I_t f = \lambda_t \cdot f \circ \varphi_t$$

for some measurable function λ_t and some bimeasurable bijection φ_t [19, p. 333]. Note that by Lemma 2.3 $\|I_t - I_s\| \geq 2^{1/p}$ if $\{\varphi_s \neq \varphi_t\}$ has positive measure. This and the fact that $(e^{itT})_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a uniformly continuous group of operators show that $\varphi_t = \varphi_0$ for $|t| \leq 1$ provided η is small enough, and we must have that $\varphi_0(\omega) = \omega$ since $e^{itT} = Id$ for $t = 0$. Furthermore, this enforces $|\lambda_t| = 1$ a.e. Writing $\lambda_t = e^{ih_t}$, $-\pi \leq h_t \leq \pi$, and M_t for the multiplication operator $f \mapsto h_t f$ we finally obtain

$$\left\| e^{itT} - e^{iM_t} \right\| \leq \beta$$

for $|t| \leq 1$.

Fix $t = \frac{1}{2}$. By continuity of inversion we may assume in addition that

$$\left\| e^{-iT/2} - e^{-iM_{1/2}} \right\| \leq \beta,$$

where $\beta = \beta(\eta) \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$. Consequently

$$\| \sin T/2 - \sin M_{1/2} \| \leq \beta.$$

If B denotes the operator of multiplication with $\arcsin(\sin h_{1/2})$, then $\sin B = \sin M_{1/2}$, $\arcsin(\sin B) = B$ and $\|\sin B\| \leq 1$. Also

$$\| \sin T/2 \| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \left\| \frac{T}{2} \right\|^{2k+1} \leq \sinh \tfrac{1}{2} < 1.$$

According to Lemma 2.1 we have for the multiplication operator $M := 2B$, say $f \mapsto hf$,

$$\|T - M\| \leq \gamma,$$

where $\gamma = \gamma(\eta) \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$. Since $\|T\| = 1$, it follows that $A = \{h \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ has positive measure if η is sufficiently small.

Now consider $P_A \mathcal{J} P_A$, which can isometrically be identified with a subspace of $\mathcal{L}(L_p(A))$. It is straightforward to check that it is an M-ideal and thus a two-sided ideal. Moreover, since $\|P_A T P_A - P_A M P_A\| \leq \gamma$ and $M|_{L_p(A)}$ is invertible with an inverse of norm ≤ 2, it follows that $P_A T P_A$ is invertible in $L_p(A)$ once $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore the ideal $P_A \mathcal{J} P_A$ coincides with $L_p(A)$. This implies $P_A \in \mathcal{J}$, as requested. $\square$

In the case $p = 2$ the M-ideals and the closed two-sided ideals of $\mathcal{L}(L_2)$ coincide, as already mentioned. Therefore the ideal of compact operators is the only nontrivial M-ideal in $\mathcal{L}(L_2[0,1])$. For the M-ideals in $\mathcal{L}(L_1)$ and $\mathcal{L}(L_\infty)$ see [24].

For future reference we record the following result that was established in the preceding proof.

Proposition 2.5 *Let $1 \le p < \infty$, $p \ne 2$. For all $\gamma > 0$ there is some $\eta > 0$ such that, whenever X is a complex $L_p(\mu)$-space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ satisfies $V(T) \subset [-\eta, 1+\eta] \times [-\eta, \eta]\, i$, then there is some hermitian operator M satisfying $\|T - M\| \le \gamma$.*

3. M-IDEALS OF OPERATORS ON $\ell_p(\ell_q^n)$

In this section we shall primarily deal with the space $\ell_p(\ell_q^n)$, $1 < p$, $q < \infty$. It is known (e.g., [14]) that the compact operators form an M-ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$, and in [8] Cho and Johnson exhibit an ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$ that fails to be an M-ideal. Actually, they conjecture that the compact operators are the only M-ideal. Here we offer a proof of this conjecture in the case of complex scalars.

The following lemma will turn out to be useful; for related results see [6].

Lemma 3.1 *There is a constant C such that, whenever (k_n) is a sequence of positive integers with $\limsup k_n = \infty$, then $\ell_p(\ell_q^n)$ is C-isomorphic to $\ell_p(\ell_q^{k_n})$.*

Proof. Let $E_1, E_2, \ldots$ be an enumeration of the ℓ_q^n-spaces in which each ℓ_q^n-space is repeated infinitely often, and let $X = \ell_p(E_n)$, $Y = \ell_p(\ell_q^{k_n})$. It is enough to show that X is C'-isomorphic to Y for some universal constant C'. This follows immediately from an application of Pełczyński's decomposition method [15, p. 54], since there are subspaces $U \subset X$, $V \subset Y$ and natural isometric isomorphisms $X \cong \ell_p(X)$, $X \cong Y \oplus_p U$, $Y \cong X \oplus V$. Note that X and V are in fact complemented by contractive projections, so Y is 4-isomorphic to $X \oplus_p V$. Thus the decomposition scheme yields the desired isomorphism with $C' = 16$. □

And now for a technical lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let (E_n) be a sequence of finite-dimensional Banach spaces. Let P_k denote the canonical finite-rank projection from*

$\ell_p(E_n)$ onto E_k. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p(E_n))$ satisfies $\sup_k \|P_k T P_k\| \leq \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is a subsequence (k_n) of the positive integers such that the inequality

$$\|PTP\| \leq 3\varepsilon$$

holds for the canonical projection P from $\ell_p(E_n)$ onto $\ell_p(E_{k_n})$.

Proof. We first establish two claims.

> *Claim 1.* For all $\beta > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m \geq m_1$
>
> $$\left\| \sum_{l \geq m} P_l T P_k \right\| \leq \beta.$$

This holds since $(\sum_{l \geq m} P_l)_m$ is uniformly bounded, converges to 0 pointwise and thus converges to 0 uniformly on the compact set $TP_k(B)$, where B denotes the unit ball of $\ell_p(E_n)$.

> *Claim 2.* For all $\beta > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m \geq m_2$
>
> $$\|P_k T P_m\| \leq \beta.$$

This holds since $P_m^* \to 0$ pointwise and thus $\|P_k T P_m\| = \|P_m^* T^* P_k^*\| \to 0$.

We now construct the desired sequence inductively. We put $k_1 = 1$. Suppose $k_1, \ldots, k_n$ have already been constructed. We apply Claim 1 with $k = k_n$, $\beta = \varepsilon/2^n$, and we pick $k_{n+1} \geq m_1$ so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|P_{k_j} T P_{k_{n+1}}\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}},$$

which is possible by Claim 2. Then the resulting sequence (k_n) satisfies

$$\left\| \sum_{j>n} P_{k_j} T P_{k_n} \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{l \geq k_{n+1}} P_l T P_{k_n} \right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}.$$

Furthermore by assumption on T

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{k_n} T P_{k_n} \right\| = \sup_n \|P_{k_n} T P_{k_n}\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

since $\sum_n P_{k_n} T P_{k_n}$ is a block-diagonal operator. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\|PTP\| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j<n} \|P_{k_j} T P_{k_n}\| + \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{k_n} T P_{k_n} \right\| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{j>n} P_{k_j} T P_{k_n} \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} + \varepsilon + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} \\ &= 3\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.3 *Consider the complex Banach space $\ell_p(\ell_q^n)$, $1 < p, q < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{K}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$ is the only M-ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$.*

Proof. We have already pointed out that $\mathcal{K}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$ as a matter of fact is an M-ideal. Moreover, it follows e.g. from [11, Th. 4.4] that an M-ideal $\mathcal{J} \neq \{0\}$ contains $\mathcal{K}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$, and since $\ell_p(\ell_q^n)$ and its dual are uniformly convex, we know from [8] that an M-ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$ must be a two-sided ideal.

Let us now assume that $\mathcal{J}$ is an M-ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$ strictly containing $\mathcal{K}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{L}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$. We first claim that an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$ factoring through ℓ_p belongs to $\mathcal{J}$. In fact, let us write $T = T_2 T_1$ with $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n), \ell_p)$ and $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p, \ell_p(\ell_q^n))$. Now $\mathcal{J}$ contains a noncompact operator S. The proof of [15, Prop. 2.c.3] shows that S acts as an isomorphism on a complemented copy E of ℓ_p, and $F = S(E)$ is complemented, too. Let π: $\ell_p(\ell_q^n) \to E$ and σ: $\ell_p(\ell_q^n) \to F$ denote projections. Then $\pi = (S^{-1}\sigma)S\pi \in \mathcal{J}$, and letting Φ: $\ell_p \to E$ denote an isomorphism, we see that $T = T_2 T_1 = T_2 \Phi^{-1} \pi \Phi T_1 \in \mathcal{J}$.

Next we observe that it is enough to prove the theorem under the assumption that $q \leq p$; the remaining case $q > p$ then follows by duality. We may also assume that $q \neq 2$. Indeed, $\ell_p(\ell_2^n)$ is known to be isomorphic to a complemented subspace of ℓ_p and thus isomorphic to ℓ_p itself. But the compact operators are the only closed two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p)$ (see the above claim); hence $\mathcal{K}(\ell_p(\ell_2^n))$ is the only M-ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p(\ell_2^n))$, since every M-ideal is an ideal. So we will suppose that $q \leq p$ and $q \neq 2$ in the remainder of the proof.

We need some notation. We will denote the unit vectors in $\ell_p(\ell_q^n)$ by e_{kl}, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq l \leq n$. It is clear that $E_1 = \overline{\mathrm{lin}}\{e_{k1}\colon k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is isometric to ℓ_p. If $q \leq p$, then the identity map from ℓ_q^n to ℓ_p^n is contractive; thus there is a natural operator A mapping $\ell_p(\ell_q^n)$ to $\ell_p(\ell_p^n) \cong \ell_p \cong E_1$. We can think of this operator A as an element of $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p(\ell_q^n))$, and by construction A factors through ℓ_p. Consequently $A \in \mathcal{J}$, $\|A\| = 1$, and also $\|Ae_{kl}\| = 1$ for all k and l.

At this stage we invoke a result from [23] saying that there is a net $(H_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{J}$ converging to Id in the $\sigma(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}^*)$-topology such that

$$\limsup \|\pm A + (Id - H_\alpha)\| = 1. \tag{1}$$

An application of [12, Th. V.5.4] and a convex combinations technique described there allows us to assume in addition that the numerical ranges $V(H_\alpha)$ are contained in small rectangles $R_\alpha = [-\eta_\alpha, 1+\eta_\alpha] \times [-\eta_\alpha, \eta_\alpha]\, i$, with $\eta_\alpha \to 0$. We denote the canonical injection of ℓ_q^k into $\ell_p(\ell_q^n)$ by j_k and the projection from $\ell_p(\ell_q^n)$ onto ℓ_q^k by P_k. For each k, $V(P_k(Id - H_\alpha)j_k) \subset R_\alpha$; and therefore, by Proposition 2.5, we conclude that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, for large enough α all the operators $P_k(Id - H_\alpha)j_k$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, differ from hermitian operators $M_{\alpha k}\colon \ell_q^k \to \ell_q^k$ by less than $\varepsilon/3$. Also, from (1) and the uniform convexity of $\ell_p(\ell_q^n)$ we infer that $(Id - H_\alpha)e_{kl} \to 0$ (since $\|Ae_{kl}\| = 1$), uniformly in k and l. From this we deduce for large enough α that $\|M_{\alpha k}(e_{kl})\| \le \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon$ for all k and l and thus, since the $M_{\alpha k}$ are hermitian (i.e., multiplication operators), $\|M_{\alpha k}\| \le \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon$ for all k. This means for large enough α and $H = H_\alpha$

$$\|P_k(Id - H)P_k\| \le \|P_k(Id - H)j_k\| \le \varepsilon \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence $Id - H$ meets the assumption of Lemma 3.2. That lemma provides us with a sequence (k_n) such that for the canonical projection P from $\ell_p(\ell_q^n)$ onto $\ell_p(\ell_q^{k_n})$

$$\|P - PHP\| = \|P(Id - H)P\| \le 3\varepsilon.$$

Since $PHP \in \mathcal{J}$, an appeal to Lemmas 2.2(b) and 3.1 finishes the proof of Theorem 3.3 provided we have chosen $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{3C}$, where C is the constant appearing in Lemma 3.1. $\square$

A similar, but technically simpler argument yields the following result.

Proposition 3.4 *Let $1 < p < \infty$, and let X be a uniformly convex Banach space isomorphic to a subspace of ℓ_p. Suppose $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ is a closed ideal strictly containing $\mathcal{K}(X)$. If $\mathcal{J}$ is an M-ideal, then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{L}(X)$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we argue that $\mathcal{J}$ contains all ℓ_p-factorable operators. Since X embeds into ℓ_p, X contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ_p. Let $\Psi\colon X \to \ell_p$ and $\Phi\colon \ell_p \to X$ denote (into-)isomorphisms, and let $A = \Phi\Psi$. Then A factors through ℓ_p, and hence $A \in \mathcal{J}$.

We may assume that $\|A\| = 1$. There is some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon\|x\| \leq \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in X$. Again, there is a net $(H_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{J}$ such that

$$\limsup \|\pm A + (Id - H_\alpha)\| = 1.$$

If α is large enough and $H = H_\alpha$, we have by uniform convexity for some $\delta > 0$ that $\|(Id - H)x\| \leq 1 - \delta$ whenever $\|x\| = 1$. Hence $\|Id - H\| < 1$, and $\mathcal{J}$ contains an invertible element. This proves that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{L}(X)$. $\square$

We recall that $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is an M-ideal in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ if X is isometric to a subspace of ℓ_p and has the metric compact approximation property.

We finally mention some problems suggested by our work.

(1) The results in sections 2 and 3 support the conjecture that $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is the only candidate for an M-ideal in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ if X is isometric to a subspace of L_p. Does this conjecture hold?

(2) In the other direction, it would be interesting to find an example of a uniformly convex Banach space X and an ideal $\mathcal{K}(X) \neq \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ which is a nontrivial M-ideal. It is also still open whether, for a uniformly convex Banach space X, every M-ideal in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is a two-sided ideal. (An M-ideal is known to be a left ideal if X is uniformly convex [8].)

References

[1] E. M. Alfsen and E. G. Effros. *Structure in real Banach spaces. Part I and II.* Ann. of Math. **96** (1972) 98–173.

[2] D. Alspach. *Small into isomorphisms on L_p spaces.* Illinois J. Math. **27** (1983) 300–314.

[3] E. Behrends. *On the geometry of spaces of C_0K-valued operators.* Studia Math. **90** (1988) 135–151.

[4] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan. *Numerical Ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and of Elements of Normed Algebras.* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 2. Cambridge University Press, 1971.

[5] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan. *Numerical Ranges II.* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 10. Cambridge University Press, 1973.

[6] P. G. Casazza, C. A. Kottman, and B.-L. Lin. *On some classes of primary Banach spaces.* Canadian J. Math. **29** (1977) 856–873.

[7] C.-M. Cho and W. B. Johnson. *A characterization of subspaces X of ℓ_p for which $K(X)$ is an M-ideal in $L(X)$.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1985) 466–470.

[8] C.-M. Cho and W. B. Johnson. *M-ideals and ideals in $L(X)$.* J. Operator Theory **16** (1986) 245–260.

[9] P. H. Flinn. *A characterization of M-ideals in $B(\ell_p)$ for $1 < p < \infty$.* Pacific J. Math. **98** (1982) 73–80.

[10] G. Godefroy, N. J. Kalton, and P. D. Saphar. *Unconditional ideals in Banach spaces.* Studia Math. **104** (1993) 13–59.

[11] P. Harmand and Å. Lima. *Banach spaces which are M-ideals in their biduals.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **283** (1984) 253–264.

[12] P. Harmand, D. Werner, and W. Werner. *M-Ideals in Banach Spaces and Banach Algebras.* Lecture Notes in Math. 1547. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1993.

[13] N. J. Kalton. *M-ideals of compact operators.* Illinois J. Math. **37** (1993) 147–169.

[14] Å. Lima. *M-ideals of compact operators in classical Banach spaces.* Math. Scand. **44** (1979) 207–217.

[15] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach Spaces I.* Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977.

[16] E. Oja. *Dual de l'espace des opérateurs linéaires continus.* C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Sér. A **309** (1989) 983–986.

[17] E. Oja and D. Werner. *Remarks on M-ideals of compact operators on $X \oplus_p X$.* Math. Nachr. **152** (1991) 101–111.

[18] R. Payá and W. Werner. *An approximation property related to M-ideals of compact operators.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **111** (1991) 993–1001.

[19] H. L. Royden. *Real Analysis.* Macmillan, second edition, 1968.

[20] R. R. Smith and J. D. Ward. *M-ideal structure in Banach algebras.* J. Funct. Anal. **27** (1978) 337–349.

[21] R. R. Smith and J. D. Ward. *Applications of convexity and M-ideal theory to quotient Banach algebras.* Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **30** (1979) 365–384.

[22] D. Werner. *Remarks on M-ideals of compact operators.* Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **41** (1990) 501–507.

[23] D. Werner. *M-ideals and the 'basic inequality'.* J. Approx. Th. (1993) (to appear).

[24] W. Werner. *Inner M-ideals in Banach algebras.* Math. Ann. **291** (1991) 205–223.

Department of Mathematics
University of Missouri

Columbia, Mo 65 211
U.S.A.
e-mail:
mathnjk@mizzou1.missouri.edu

I. Mathematisches Institut
Freie Universität Berlin
Arnimallee 2–6
14 195 Berlin
Federal Republic of Germany
e-mail:
werner@math.fu-berlin.de